



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/713,455

11/17/2003

Kazuya Suzuki

8022-1063

2290

466 7590 02/25/2010

YOUNG & THOMPSON
209 Madison Street
Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

ZEE, EDWARD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2435

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/25/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/713,455	Applicant(s) SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner EDWARD ZEE	Art Unit 2435	

All Participants:

(1) EDWARD ZEE.

(2) James Livingston Jr. (No. 55,394).

Date of Interview: 18 February 2010

Status of Application: Notice of Allowance Mailed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner confirmed with the Applicant's representative, that pages 149-171 of the specification filed on 11/17/03 were inadvertently included, as they are merely a repeat of the contents found on pages 19-41 of the specification. Additionally, the Examiner confirmed that only one Abstract was filed on 11/17/03, contrary to what was stated in the preliminary amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Edward Zee/
Examiner, Art Unit 2435

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)